



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP  
11 SOUTH MERIDIAN  
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

**COPY MAILED**

**DEC 17 2009**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Patent No. 7,601,332 :  
Vlahov et al. :  
Issue Date: October 13, 2009 :  
Application No. 10/765,336 : DECISION ON REQUEST FOR  
Filed: January 27 2004 : RECONSIDERATION OF  
Attorney Dkt. No. 20150-74359 : PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT  
Title: Vitamin Receptor Binding :  
Drug Delivery Conjugates :  
:

This is in response to the "REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.705(d)", filed November 11, 2009. Patentees request that the patent term be adjusted from 478 days to 1055 days.

The request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment is **DISMISSED**.

On October 13, 2009, the above-identified application matured into US Patent No. 7,601,332 with a patent term adjustment of 478 days. This request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment (including the required fee) was timely filed within two months of the issue date of the patent. See 37 CFR 1.705(d).

Patentees request recalculation of the patent term adjustment based on the decision in Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138, 88 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008). Patentees assert that pursuant to Wyeth, a PTO delay under 35 U.S.C. §154(b)(1)(A) overlaps with a delay under 35 U.S.C. §154(b)(1)(B) only if the delays "occur on the same day." Patentees maintain that the period of adjustment due to the Three Year Delay by the Office, pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.703(b), 577 days, does not overlap with the 661 day period of adjustment due to examination delay, pursuant to 37 CFR §1.702(a), as these periods do not occur on the same day.

Patentees submit that the total period of adjustment for Office delay is the sum of the period of three-year delay (577 days) and the period of examination delay (661 days) to the extent these periods do not overlap. As such, patentees assert entitlement to a patent term adjustment of 1055 days (661 days plus 577 days less 183 days of applicants' delay). Applicants do not dispute the 183 days of reduction due to applicants' delay.

The Office finds that as of the day before the date of the filing of the request for continued examination (RCE) on August 25, 2008, the application was pending three years and 576 days after its filing date (January 27, 2007 to August 25, 2008). An entry of a period of adjustment of 661 days was entered for Office delay. At issue is whether patentee should accrue an additional 576 days of patent term adjustment for the Office taking in excess of three years to issue the patent as well as 661 days for Office failure to take a certain action within a specified time frame (or examination delay).

Patentee's calculation of the period of overlap is inconsistent with the Office's interpretation of this provision. 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

To the extent that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

Likewise, 37 CFR 1.703(f) provides that:

To the extent that periods of delay attributable to the grounds specified in §1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted under this section shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

As explained in *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004), the Office interprets 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) as permitting either patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv), or patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), but not as permitting patent term adjustment under both 35 U.S.C.

154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv) and 154(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the Office implements the overlap provision as follows:

If an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Thus, any days of delay for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application, which overlap with the days of patent term adjustment accorded prior to the issuance of the patent will not result in any additional patent term adjustment. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), and 37 CFR § 1.703(f). See *Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment Under Twenty Year Term; Final Rule*, 65 Fed. Reg. 56366 (Sept. 18, 2000). See also *Revision of Patent Term Extension and Patent Term Adjustment Provisions; Final Rule*, 69 Fed. Reg. 21704 (April 22, 2004), 1282 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 100 (May 18, 2004). See also *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004).

As such, the period for over 3-year pendency does not overlap only to the extent that the actual dates in the period beginning three years after the date on which the application was filed overlap with the actual dates in the periods for failure of the Office to take action within specified time frames.

In this instance, the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) is the filing date January 27, 2004 until the day before the filing of the RCE on August 25, 2008. Prior to the issuance of the patent, 661 days of patent term adjustment were accorded for the Office failing to respond within a specified time frame during the pendency of the application.

The Office did not delay 661 days and then delay an additional 576 days. Accordingly, 661 days of patent term adjustment for Office delay (not 661 days and 576 days) was properly entered

because the entire period of delay of 576 days attributable to the delay under 37 CFR 1.702(b) overlaps with the 661 days attributable to grounds specified in §§ 1.702(a)(1).

Accordingly, at issuance, the Office properly entered no additional days of patent term adjustment.

In view thereof, the Office affirms that the revised determination of patent term adjustment at the time of the issuance of the patent was 478 (661-183) days.

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Charlema Grant, Petitions Attorney, at (571) 272-3215.

/Kery A. Fries/

Kery Fries  
Senior Legal Advisor Attorney  
Office of Patent Legal Administration  
Office of Deputy Commissioner  
For Patent Examination Policy